

# Amendments to permitted development rights

## Details

### Q1. Details

Name

[REDACTED]

Organisation

-

Preferred contact details (Email address, phone number or address)

[REDACTED]

### Q2. Type (please select one from the following)

Responding in a private capacity

Q3. Responses to consultations may be made public. To keep your response anonymous (including email addresses) tick the box.

Keep my response anonymous

## Questions

Q4. Q1. Should the additional days granted by Class A of Part 4A be retained permanently, permitting temporary uses to take place for up to 56 days (28 days for specified uses) in a calendar year?

No

#### Comments:

This policy only helped wealthy landowners at the expense of their neighbours and the local population at large, it opened up peaceful beautiful rural areas to a large intrusion of cars, noise and litter, as well as the visual disturbance of tents and campervans. I believe it also encouraged the increase of wild camping in the area. Our neighbour, who owns much land and property set up a pop up campsite last summer - they requested 250 tents and were granted permission for 150. There was no consultation with those of us nearby who would be affected. We suffered noise - music and shouting, especially at night, all summer, a huge increase in traffic, three times gates were left open caused livestock to stray, including our two horses who were later found safely on neighbouring property - this was despite several temporary signs. Campers used the footpath that leads onto our land as a toilet, preferring that to the long walk to the temporary toilets - disgusting. The smell from the temporary toilets was another unpleasant effect we had to put up with. There is already adequate provision for the number of visitors that our area can comfortably accommodate. The volume of people and traffic in 2021 made for a very unpleasant summer for local residents, and the many pop up campsite contributed to this. These were also seemingly allowed without the risk assessments in terms of traffic and road safety that the rest of us who live here must comply with. We have chosen to make our life in a rural area, away from crowds and noise and yet it turned up on our doorstep, we gained nothing from it, only suffered the annoyance. The scheme caused much tension and ill feeling between neighbours.

Q5. Q2. Do you have any evidence as to any benefits and impacts as a result of introducing the additional number of days for temporary uses to take place since April? If yes, please specify.

#### Comments:

What evidence could we give for the negative impact other than our testimony?

Q6. Q3. Do you have views on whether there should be additional restrictions on the use of this PDR to mitigate against potential impacts of making this permanent? If yes, please specify.

Yes

**Comments:**

Restricted to small parcels of land, with a maximum number of 10-20 tents, strict rules as to noise, litter and toilets. Sites not to be in view of neighbouring residents.

Q7. Q4. Should the number of days for holding a market generally be extended? If Yes, what is an acceptable number of days for holding a market? What conditions should apply to manage the planning impacts?

*No Response*

Q8. Q5. Should any additional days over the permitted 14 days be provided for markets operated by or on behalf of a local authority?

*No Response*

Q9. Q6. Do you agree the permitted changes of use within town centres should become permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

*No Response*

Q10. Q7. Do you agree the permitted development right for the use of the highway adjacent to a hospitality use for that purpose should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No

**Comments:**

Safety reasons and impact on local residents

Q11. Q8. If you answered yes to Q7, are any additional conditions required to mitigate potential amenity impacts?

*No Response*

Q12. Q9. Do you agree the permitted development right for the installation of awnings at hospitality uses should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

*No Response*

Q13. Q10. Do you have any comments regarding Part 3A?

*No Response*

Q14. Q11. Do you have any comments regarding Part 12A?

*No Response*

Q15. Q12. Do you agree that HMOs should not benefit from permitted development rights for alterations and extensions to a dwellinghouse granted by Part 1 of the GPDO? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

*No Response*

Q16. Q13. Do you agree with the proposed alterations to Class F? If not, please suggest alternative approaches, restrictions or thresholds that could be adopted.

*No Response*

Q17. Q14. Do you agree greater flexibility should be provided through permitted development rights to accelerate the rollout of electric vehicle charging infrastructure? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

*No Response*

Q18. Q15. Do you agree with reintroducing permitted development rights for the protection of poultry and other captive birds?

*No Response*

Q19. Q16. Do you agree with the proposals for amending Article 4 directions?

*No Response*

Q20. Q17. We would like to know your views on the effects of the proposals would have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

*No Response*

Q21. 18. We have asked a number of specific consultation questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use the space below to raise them.

*No Response*

## Submit your response

Q22. If you want to receive a receipt of your response, please provide an email address.

Email address

████████████████████